No. 1D/YMN/24/81/24718.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial discute exists between the workman Shri Beera and the management of the M/s Vishal Metal Industries, Durga Garden, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab 57/11245, dated 7th Fibruary, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Beera was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 2nd June, 1982

No. ID/FD/89/82/24908.—Wheras the Governor of Harrana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kayamuddin and the management of the M/s New India Dycing and Funishing Mills: 14/5 Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

- And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (o) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court Faridabad Constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kayamuddin was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/3/82/24942.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Raj Pal and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways Jind, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And wherers the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-73/32573 dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication,

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 3rd June, 1982

No. ID/KNL/16/82/25130.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagir Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Karval, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service/dismissal of Shri Jagir Singh was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?